

Rubric or Marking Guide criteria – Strengths, Values, Interests and Careers

	Proposed weighting	Excellent	Average	Poor
Part 1 Character Strengths, Values, Interests presentation	40%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies each of their top 5 strengths, top 10 values and top 3 interests. Shows a high level of thought and insight in ascribing meaning to strengths/values/interests and in selecting images and explaining their personal significance. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies and describes each of top 5 strengths, values and top 3 interests. May lack some insight or clarity in ascribing meaning to strengths/values/interests and/or in what each image represents to them and why it was selected. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides little or no description of their top 5 character strengths top 10 values or top 3 interests. Offers limited explanation, or no explanation at all of how they connect with their strengths/values/interests and/or in what each image represents and why it was selected.
Part 2 Reflection <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Peer strengths review Key learnings 	40%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides evidence of an insightful and useful conversation with a peer on strengths Provides a clear and comprehensive explanation of how at least one strength can be used to assist in managing a career or workplace issue. Provides excellent insight into how their values and interests link to their sector Provides in-depth insight into what they learnt about themselves from the process. Provides relevant examples of how strengths can be used to support well defined career goals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides evidence of a conversation with a peer on strengths and some insights Provides an adequate explanation of how at least one strength can be used to assist in managing a career or workplace issue. Provides some insight into how their values and interests link to their sector Provides some insight into what they learnt about themselves from the process. Provides adequate examples of how strengths can be used to support well career goals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides limited or no evidence of a conversation with a peer on strengths Does not refer to, or provides a limited explanation of how one or more strengths can be used to assist in managing a career or workplace issue. Provides limited to no insight into how their values and interests link to their sector May not describe any reflection of the process Does not address what they learnt about themselves or does so in a limited way. Few or no examples are provided and/or no indication of career goals
Presentation (of 3 minute presentation and essay)	20%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation was attractive, entertaining and logically presented Reflection was logically structured using headings appropriately Few to no spelling or grammatical errors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation was well-presented and logical with some room for improvement Reflection is well organised and generally coherent with some room for improvement Some spelling and grammatical errors but these generally didn't interfere with readability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation was poorly structured and/or with limited content and engagement with audience Reflection was inadequate being poorly constructed, confusing and/or lacking key content making it difficult to understand the ideas Numerous spelling and grammatical errors